REMARKS

Claim 19 has been cancelled. Claim 1 has been amended to correct the following informality at line 4: "a gantry" now reads "the gantry."

In the Office Action mailed on November 27, 2006, Claim 19 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Boyd *et al.* (U.S. 5,014,293) in view of Tam (U.S. 6,130,930), and Claims 1-18, 25 and 35 were provisionally rejected for double patenting in view of the claims of co-pending Application No. 11/262,299. Claims 20-24, 27-34 and 39-47 were allowed.

With the entry of the present amendment, Claim 19 has been cancelled. In addition, applicant has filed an amendment in Application No. 11/262,299 that obviates the provisional double patenting rejection. Therefore, it is believed that all claims are allowable, and the present application should be allowed.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that all claims are in condition for allowance, and it is respectfully requested that the application be passed to issue. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this case, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.

Kevin T. Shaughnessy

Registration No. 51,014 Telephone: (978) 341-0036

Facsimile: (978) 341-0136

Concord, MA 01742-9133

Date: 3/7/67